



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,968	01/31/2001	Marwan Zebian	J1-2-98121-B-US	6029

33356 7590 06/01/2006

SoCAL IP LAW GROUP LLP
310 N. WESTLAKE BLVD. STE 120
WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91362

EXAMINER

PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/774,968	ZEBIAN, MARWAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashok B. Patel	2154	

All Participants:

(1) Ashok B. Patel.

(2) Joel G. Landau.

Status of Application: Under Appeal

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 May 2006

Time: 2:00PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See continuation sheet.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 JOHN FOLLANSBEE
 SUPERVISORY, PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

A. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on May 12, 2005 has been considered.

B. The following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed December 13, 2004:

(1) "Summary of claimed subject matter" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v);

(2) "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(vi);

(3) "Evidence appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix);
and

(4) "Related proceedings appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on December 13, 2004 does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c). It is required that a substitute Appeal Brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c). For more information on the Board's new rules, please see the web page entitled "More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI," Final Rule at:
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/osices/dcom/bpai/frzoo4/moreinfo.html>

Applicant is hereby advised to file a substitute Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37.